South-South cooperation and horizontality Differences in aid modalities?

The case of Brazil in Mozambique

DSA2016

"Politics in Development"

Development Studies Association Conference
Oxford Department of International Development (ODID)

Examination Schools, University of Oxford

12-14 September 2016

P18: South-South cooperation and the post-2015 development agenda: divergence or convergence between new players and traditional actors?

Presentation by Jurek Seifert

















Current shifts in International Development Cooperation

Background: global power shifts ⇒ BRICS

MDGs ⇒ SDGs/ Post-2015 GDA

Institutional rearrangements (DAC + GPEDC vs. DCF)

The Global South as both provider and recipient

South-South Development Cooperation as a Modality

Claim to be different

Political discourse

Historical solidarity

Southern Identity

Remains vague, but politically relevant

What constitutes the differentness of SSDC?

Range of SSDC actors: divergence vs. convergence Diverse set of "Southern" actors BRICS Mexico, Turkey, South Korea Arab Donors Focus on BRICS

SSDC Characteristics: Horizontality (power structures) Demand-driven Win-Win situations Development model/ adequate knowledge Cultural proximity / language

How to investigate SSDC?

Case study: Brazil as an SSDC provider

In-case study: Brazilian-Mozambican cooperation

Qualitative single-case study (expert interviews)

Focus on SSDC-characteristics

Investigating the "Southern-ness" of SSDC

State policies, discourse and set of actors

Cooperation policies and horizontality of interaction

Perception of actors (interviews)

Outcomes and Evaluation

Case study findings (Brazil under Lula and Dilma; 2003-2015)

Diverse set of actors

Low degree of institutionalization of coop. policies

Low degree of formalization of coop. policies

Policies often do not reach implementation level

Case study findings (Brazil under Lula and Dilma; 2003-2015)

Horizontality is present in Brazilian cooperation

Is (partly) perceived to be different (budget support, language)

Difference is of rather little importance to recipient

Higher relevance on international level

Challenges

Generalization of case study findings

Demand for further (comparative) research

Thank you for your attention

jurek.seifert@gmail.com











